

ON REAL ANALYTIC ORBIFOLDS AND RIEMANNIAN METRICS

MARJA KANKAANRINTA

ABSTRACT. We begin by showing that every real analytic orbifold has a real analytic Riemannian metric. It follows that every reduced real analytic orbifold can be expressed as a quotient of a real analytic manifold by a real analytic almost free action of a compact Lie group. We then extend a well-known result of Nomizu and Ozeki concerning Riemannian metrics on manifolds to the orbifold setting: Let X be a smooth (real analytic) orbifold and let α be a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on X . Then X has a complete smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric conformal to α .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider Riemannian metrics on smooth, i.e., C^∞ , and real analytic orbifolds. As is well-known, a smooth Riemannian metric for any smooth orbifold can be constructed by using invariant Riemannian metrics on orbifold charts and gluing them together by a smooth partition of unity. Real analytic manifolds admit real analytic Riemannian metrics, since they can be real analytically embedded in Euclidean spaces. Neither of these two methods to construct Riemannian metrics work for real analytic orbifolds and a different approach is needed.

Recall that an orbifold is called *reduced* if the actions of the finite groups on orbifold charts are effective. We first study the frame bundle $\text{Fr}(X)$ of a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold X . The frame bundle $\text{Fr}(X)$ is a real analytic manifold and the general linear group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts properly and almost freely, i.e., with finite isotropy subgroups, on $\text{Fr}(X)$. Thus $\text{Fr}(X)$ has a $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant real analytic Riemannian metric ([4], Theorem I), which induces a real analytic Riemannian metric on X . We then show that every real analytic orbifold inherits a real analytic Riemannian metric from the corresponding reduced orbifold. Therefore we obtain:

Date: November 29, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57R18.

Key words and phrases. orbifold, real analytic, complete, Riemannian metric.

Acknowledgements. The author's research was supported by the visitors program of the Aalto University during the academic year 2012 - 2013. The author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis of the Aalto University for its hospitality during her stay.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a real analytic orbifold. Then X has a real analytic Riemannian metric.*

Since, by Theorem 1.1, every real analytic orbifold has a real analytic Riemannian metric, we can construct the orthonormal frame bundle $\text{OFr}(X)$ for every reduced real analytic orbifold. Exactly as in the smooth case ([1], Theorem 1.23) we prove:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold. Then X is real analytically diffeomorphic to the quotient orbifold $\text{OFr}(X)/\text{O}(n)$.*

Notice that if X is a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold, then $\text{OFr}(X)$ is a real analytic manifold with a real analytic, effective, almost free action of the orthogonal group $\text{O}(n)$. Thus Theorem 1.2 implies the following:

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold. Then X is real analytically diffeomorphic to a quotient orbifold $M/\text{O}(n)$, where M is a real analytic manifold and $\text{O}(n)$ acts on M real analytically and almost freely.*

It follows that reduced real analytic orbifolds can be studied by using methods developed for studying real analytic almost free actions of compact Lie groups.

To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we use two kinds of comparisons. Firstly, we compare Riemannian metrics on a quotient orbifold M/G to G -invariant Riemannian metrics on the G -manifold M (Section 3). Secondly, we compare Riemannian metrics on an orbifold to those on the corresponding reduced orbifold (Section 4). We conclude the paper by applying these comparisons to prove a result concerning complete Riemannian metrics:

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a smooth (resp. real analytic) orbifold. For any smooth (resp. real analytic) Riemannian metric α on X there exists a complete smooth (resp. real analytic) Riemannian metric on X which is conformal to α .*

The corresponding result for Riemannian metrics on smooth manifolds has been proven by K. Nomizu and H. Ozeki ([7], Theorem 1). The corresponding equivariant result, which also is used in the proof of Theorem 1.4, was proved by the author ([5], Theorems 3.1 and 5.2).

2. DEFINITIONS

We first recall the definition of an orbifold:

Definition 2.1. Let X be a topological space and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (1) An *orbifold chart* of X is a triple $(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$, where $\tilde{U}$ is an open connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, G is a finite group acting on $\tilde{U}$ and $\varphi: \tilde{U} \rightarrow X$ is a G -invariant map inducing a homeomorphism $U = \varphi(\tilde{U}) \cong \tilde{U}/G$. Let $\ker(G)$ be the subgroup of G acting trivially on $\tilde{U}$.

- (2) An *embedding* $(\lambda, \theta): (\tilde{U}, G, \varphi) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$ between two orbifold charts is an injective homomorphism $\theta: G \rightarrow H$ such that θ is an isomorphism from $\ker(G)$ to $\ker(H)$, and an equivariant embedding $\lambda: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ with $\psi \circ \lambda = \varphi$.
- (3) An *orbifold atlas* on X is a family $\mathcal{U} = \{(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)\}$ of orbifold charts which cover X and satisfy the following: For any two charts $(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$ and $(\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$ and a point $x \in \varphi(\tilde{U}) \cap \psi(\tilde{V})$, there exists a chart $(\tilde{W}, K, \mu)$ such that $x \in \mu(\tilde{W})$ and embeddings $(\tilde{W}, K, \mu) \rightarrow (\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$ and $(\tilde{W}, K, \mu) \rightarrow (\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$.
- (4) An orbifold atlas $\mathcal{U}$ *refines* another orbifold atlas $\mathcal{V}$ if every chart in $\mathcal{U}$ can be embedded into some chart in $\mathcal{V}$. Two orbifold atlases are *equivalent* if they have a common refinement.

Definition 2.2. An n -dimensional *orbifold* is a paracompact Hausdorff space X equipped with an equivalence class of n -dimensional orbifold atlases.

An orbifold is called *smooth* (resp. *real analytic*), if for every orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$, G acts smoothly (resp. real analytically) on $\tilde{U}$ and if each embedding $\lambda: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ is smooth (resp. real analytic). Orbifold maps are defined as follows:

Definition 2.3. Let X and Y be smooth (real analytic) orbifolds. We call a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a *smooth (real analytic) orbifold map*, if for every $x \in X$, there are charts $(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$ around x and $(\tilde{V}, H, \psi)$ around $f(x)$, such that f maps $U = \varphi(\tilde{U})$ into $V = \psi(\tilde{V})$ and the restriction $f|_U$ can be lifted to a smooth (real analytic) equivariant map $\tilde{f}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$.

Definition 2.4. A *Riemannian metric* α on an orbifold X is given by a collection of Riemannian metrics $\alpha^{\tilde{U}}$ on the $\tilde{U}$ of the orbifold charts $(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$ so that

- (1) the group G acts isometrically on $\tilde{U}$ and
- (2) the embeddings $\tilde{W} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{W} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ of Part 3 of Definition 2.1 are isometries.

If X is a smooth (real analytic) orbifold and if all the $\alpha^{\tilde{U}}$ are smooth (real analytic), then α is a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric.

Let X be a smooth orbifold, and let $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, \varphi_i)$, $i \in I$, be orbifold charts of X such that $\{\varphi_i(\tilde{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a locally finite cover of X . Then each $\tilde{U}_i$ has a smooth Riemannian metric $\alpha^{\tilde{U}_i}$, and by averaging over G_i , we may assume that $\alpha^{\tilde{U}_i}$ is G_i -invariant, i.e., that G_i acts isometrically on $\tilde{U}_i$. Gluing these Riemannian metrics together, by using a smooth partition of unity, gives a smooth Riemannian metric on X ([6], Proposition 2.20). All the orbifolds in [6] are assumed to be reduced. However, the proof of Proposition 2.20 also works in the general case.

We next recall the way to define *distance* on a connected Riemannian orbifold, for details and proofs, see [2]. Assume a smooth (real analytic) orbifold X is

equipped with a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric α . Let $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be an *admissible* curve ([2], Definition 35). The interval $[0, 1]$ can be decomposed into finitely many subintervals $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ such that $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}]) \subset U_i = \varphi(\tilde{U}_i)$, for some chart $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, \varphi_i)$ of X . Let γ_i denote the restriction of γ to $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$, and let $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ be a lift of γ_i , for every i . If $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ is piecewise differentiable, its length can be calculated by integrating. If $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ is merely continuous, then its length can be calculated by approximating it by piecewise differentiable curves. Every lift of γ_i has the same length and the length of the lift does not depend on which chart of X is being used. Thus the length $L_\alpha(\gamma_i)$ of γ_i can be defined to be the length of $\tilde{\gamma}_i$. Then the length $L_\alpha(\gamma)$ of γ equals the sum of the $L_\alpha(\gamma_i)$.

Every curve connecting two points on an orbifold can always be replaced by an admissible curve whose local lifts are at most as long as the ones of the original curve ([2], Remark 39). Thus the distance between any given points x and y of a connected orbifold X can be defined to be

$$d_\alpha(x, y) = \inf\{L_\alpha(\gamma) \mid \gamma \text{ is an admissible curve joining } x \text{ to } y\}.$$

Then X equipped with the metric d_α becomes a metric space. If d_α is a complete metric, then any two points on X can be joined by a minimal geodesic realizing the distance $d_\alpha(x, y)$ ([2], Theorem 40). Moreover, X is a locally compact length space. Thus it follows that d_α is a complete metric if and only if the metric balls in X are relatively compact.

3. RIEMANNIAN METRIC ON A QUOTIENT ORBIFOLD

Let G be a Lie group and let M be a smooth (real analytic) manifold. Assume G acts on M by a smooth (real analytic) almost free action. Assume also that the action is *proper*, i.e., that the map

$$G \times M \rightarrow M \times M, \quad (g, x) \mapsto (gx, x),$$

is proper. Let α be a smooth (real analytic) G -invariant Riemannian metric on M . It is well-known that the quotient M/G is a smooth (real analytic) orbifold and that α induces a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on M/G . We present a proof of this basic result here (Theorem 3.1), since we failed to find one in the literature.

The main idea is to use the *differentiable slice theorem*: Let $x \in M$ and let Gx denote the orbit of x . Let G_x denote the isotropy subgroup of G at x . Then there is a G_x -invariant smooth (real analytic) submanifold N_x of M that contains x and is G_x -equivariantly diffeomorphic to an open G_x -invariant neighbourhood of the origin in the normal space $T_x(M)/T_x(Gx)$ to Gx at x . The manifold N_x is called a *linear slice* at x . The exponential map takes an open neighbourhood of the zero section of the normal bundle of Gx diffeomorphically to the neighbourhood GN_x

of Gx which can be identified with the twisted product $G \times_{G_x} N_x$. The map

$$f: GN_x \cong G \times_{G_x} N_x \rightarrow G/G_x \cong Gx, \quad gy \mapsto gx,$$

is smooth (real analytic) and G -equivariant. The map f is exactly the map that assigns to every point z in GN_x the unique nearest point $f(z)$ in Gx . Thus, if $g \in G$ and $y \in N_x$, then the distance from gy to Gx equals $d_\alpha(gy, gx) = d_\alpha(y, x)$, where d_α denotes the metric induced by α on the connected components of M . For every $y \in N_x$, $G_y \subset G_x$ and a linear slice N_y at y is an open G_y -invariant subset of N_x . If $g \in G$, then $N_{gx} = gN_x$.

The orbifold charts of the quotient orbifold M/G are the triples (N_x, G_x, π_x) , where $x \in M$ and π_x denotes the natural projection $N_x \rightarrow N_x/G_x \cong (GN_x)/G$. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow M/G$ be the natural projection. Assume $z \in M$ and $\pi(z) \in \pi_x(N_x) \cap \pi_y(N_y)$. Then we may assume that $z \in N_x \cap gN_y$, for some $g \in G$. It follows that $N_z \subset N_x \cap gN_y$ and the embeddings $N_z \rightarrow N_x$ and $N_z \rightarrow gN_y = N_{gy} \cong N_y$ are equivariant. Consequently, the charts (N_x, G_x, π_x) satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.1. Thus M/G is a smooth (real analytic) orbifold.

Let us next consider the smooth (real analytic) G -invariant Riemannian metric α on M . By restriction, α induces a smooth (real analytic) G_x -invariant Riemannian metric α_x on the linear slice N_x , for every x . Thus G_x acts isometrically on N_x , for every $x \in M$. Since the embeddings $N_y \rightarrow N_x$ are just inclusions, possibly composed with an isometry $g \in G$, it follows that they are isometries. Consequently, the Riemannian metrics α_x satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.4. Therefore, α induces a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on M/G . We have proved:

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a Lie group and let M be a smooth (real analytic) manifold on which G acts by a proper, smooth (real analytic) almost free action. Then the quotient M/G is a smooth (real analytic) orbifold. Every smooth (real analytic) G -invariant Riemannian metric α on M induces a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric $\hat{\alpha}$ on M/G .*

We leave it for the reader to verify the following observation:

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a Lie group and let M be a smooth (real analytic) manifold on which G acts by a proper, smooth (real analytic) almost free action. Assume M/G is connected. Let M_0 be a connected component of M , and let $H = \{g \in G \mid gM_0 = M_0\}$. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *H is a closed subgroup of G , and it contains the connected component G_0 of the identity element of G ,*
- (2) *the quotient orbifolds M/G and M_0/H are smoothly (real analytically) diffeomorphic,*
- (3) *there is a one-to-one correspondence between smooth (real analytic) G -invariant Riemannian metrics on M and smooth (real analytic) H -invariant Riemannian metrics on M_0 ,*

- (4) *there is a one-to-one correspondence between smooth (real analytic) G -invariant maps $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and smooth (real analytic) H -invariant maps $M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

Let G , M and α be as in Theorem 3.1. Let $x \in M$ and let N_x be a linear slice at x . There is a real analytic local cross section $\delta: U \rightarrow G$ of the map $G \rightarrow G/G_x$, $g \mapsto gG_x$, defined in some neighbourhood U of eG_x in G/G_x and having the property $\delta(eG_x) = e$. Let $f_0: GN_x \rightarrow G/G_x$, $gy \mapsto gG_x$. The map $F: U \times N_x \rightarrow V$, $(u, s) \mapsto \delta(u)s$, is a smooth (real analytic) diffeomorphism onto some neighbourhood V of N_x . The inverse of F is given by $F^{-1}: V \rightarrow U \times N_x$, $y \mapsto (f_0(y), \delta(f_0(y))^{-1}y)$. Let $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow V \subset GN_x$ be a curve. Let $\text{pr}: U \times N_x \rightarrow N_x$ denote the projection. Then $\text{pr} \circ F^{-1}$ takes every point in V to a point in the same orbit. Thus the curves $\text{pr} \circ F^{-1} \circ \gamma$ and γ induce the same curve $[0, 1] \rightarrow M/G$. The curve $\text{pr} \circ F^{-1} \circ \gamma$ has its image in the linear slice N_x . It follows that, if the image $\gamma([0, 1])$ is not contained in gN_x , for any $g \in G$, then $\text{pr} \circ F^{-1} \circ \gamma$ is shorter than γ . We therefore obtain:

Lemma 3.3. *For every curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow V$ there is a curve $\gamma^*: [0, 1] \rightarrow N_x$ having the following properties:*

- (1) $L_\alpha(\gamma^*) \leq L_\alpha(\gamma)$,
- (2) γ and γ^* induce the same curve $[0, 1] \rightarrow M/G$.

Assume M/G is connected. Let M_0 be a connected component of M , and let H be the subgroup of G consisting of the elements that map M_0 to itself, as in Lemma 3.2. Let α be a smooth (real analytic) G -invariant Riemannian metric on M . By restriction, we may consider α as a H -invariant Riemannian metric on M_0 . Let d_α be the H -invariant metric induced on M_0 by α . The metric d_α then induces a metric $\tilde{d}_\alpha$ on $M_0/H \cong M/G$, where

$$\tilde{d}_\alpha(\pi(x), \pi(y)) = \inf\{d_\alpha(x, hy) \mid h \in H\}.$$

Let $d_{\hat{\alpha}}$ be the metric that the Riemannian metric $\hat{\alpha}$ induces on M/G . We will use Lemma 3.3 to prove the following result:

Theorem 3.4. *Let M , G , α and $\hat{\alpha}$ be as in Theorem 3.1. Assume M/G is connected. Then $\tilde{d}_\alpha = d_{\hat{\alpha}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we may without loss of generality assume that M is connected. Let $x, y \in M$. We will show that $\tilde{d}_\alpha(\pi(x), \pi(y)) = d_{\hat{\alpha}}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$. On one hand, to determine the distance $\tilde{d}_\alpha(\pi(x), \pi(y))$, one needs to calculate the length $L_\alpha(\mu)$ for *every* curve $\mu: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ starting at x and ending at gy , for some $g \in G$.

On the other hand, to find the distance $d_{\hat{\alpha}}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$, one needs to consider all the curves $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M/G$ such that $\gamma(0) = \pi(x)$ and $\gamma(1) = \pi(y)$, and calculate the lengths $L_{\hat{\alpha}}(\gamma)$. As mentioned in Section 2, it suffices to only consider the so-called admissible curves. Assume $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M/G$ is admissible. As explained

in Section 2, the length $L_{\hat{\alpha}}(\gamma)$ is defined by using local lifts of γ : Decompose the interval $[0, 1]$ into finitely many subintervals $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ such that $\gamma([t_i, t_{i+1}]) \subset \pi(N_{x_i})$, for some chart $(N_{x_i}, G_{x_i}, \pi_{x_i})$ of M/G . Let γ_i denote the restriction of γ to $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$, and let $\tilde{\gamma}_i: [t_i, t_{i+1}] \rightarrow N_{x_i}$ be a lift of γ_i , for every i . Then

$$L_{\hat{\alpha}}(\gamma) = \sum L_{\alpha}(\tilde{\gamma}_i).$$

We next show that the lifts $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ of γ_i can be chosen in such a way that they define a curve $\tilde{\gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a lift of γ : For example, $\pi(\tilde{\gamma}_1(t_2)) = \pi(\tilde{\gamma}_2(t_2))$, $\tilde{\gamma}_1(t_2) \in N_{x_1}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2(t_2) = g\tilde{\gamma}_1(t_2)$, for some $g \in G$. Thus, if $\tilde{\gamma}_1(t_2) \neq \tilde{\gamma}_2(t_2)$, we can replace $\tilde{\gamma}_2$ by another lift $g^{-1} \circ \tilde{\gamma}_2$ of γ_2 having the same length as $\tilde{\gamma}_2$. Continuing like this, we can replace every lift $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ by another lift having the same length as $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ in such a way that the lifts define a curve. This implies that when we calculate the distance $d_{\hat{\alpha}}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$, we end up calculating the lengths of *some* of the curves starting at x and ending at gy , for some $g \in G$. Thus $\tilde{d}_{\alpha}(\pi(x), \pi(y)) \leq d_{\hat{\alpha}}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$.

To prove that $\tilde{d}_{\alpha}(\pi(x), \pi(y)) = d_{\hat{\alpha}}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$, it requires to show that to calculate $\tilde{d}_{\alpha}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$ it is sufficient to use only those curves $[0, 1] \rightarrow M$ that we used above to calculate $d_{\hat{\alpha}}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$. That this indeed is the case, is a result of the following: Let $\mu: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a curve, $\mu(0) = x$ and $\mu(1) = gy$, for some $g \in G$. Then, by the Lebesgue's number lemma, the interval $[0, 1]$ can be decomposed into finitely many subintervals $[s_j, s_{j+1}]$, where $\mu([s_j, s_{j+1}])$ is contained in a subset V_j of M that is diffeomorphic to $U_j \times N_{x_j}$ and U_j is an open neighbourhood of eG_{x_j} in G/G_{x_j} , for some $x_j \in M$. Denote the restriction of μ to $[s_j, s_{j+1}]$ by μ_j . As in Lemma 3.3, we can find a curve $\mu_j^*: [s_j, s_{j+1}] \rightarrow N_{x_j}$ that induces the same curve $[s_j, s_{j+1}] \rightarrow M/G$ as μ_j and whose length is less or equal to the length of μ_j , for every j . Moreover, we can assume that the μ_j^* define a curve $\mu^*: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ by gluing them at the end points as above. Thus every curve $\mu: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ can be replaced by a curve $\mu^*: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ which is among the ones that were used to calculate $d_{\hat{\alpha}}(\pi(x), \pi(y))$, such that $L_{\alpha}(\mu^*) \leq L_{\alpha}(\mu)$ and μ and μ^* induce the same curve $[0, 1] \rightarrow M/G$. $\square$

According to Lemma 2.4 in [5], the metric $\tilde{d}_{\alpha}$ on $M/G \cong M_0/H$ is complete if and only if the H -invariant metric d_{α} on M_0 is complete. Since, by Theorem 3.4, $\tilde{d}_{\alpha} = d_{\hat{\alpha}}$, it follows that $d_{\hat{\alpha}}$ is complete if and only if d_{α} is complete. We conclude:

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a Lie group and let M be a smooth (real analytic) manifold on which G acts by a proper, smooth (real analytic) almost free action. Let α be a G -invariant smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on M and let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric that α induces on M/G . Then $\hat{\alpha}$ is complete if and only if α is complete.*

We next consider the case where G is compact:

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a compact Lie group and let M be a smooth (real analytic) manifold on which G acts by a smooth (real analytic) almost free action. Let β be a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on M/G . Then there is a G -invariant smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric α on M such that $\hat{\alpha} = \beta$.*

Proof. The Riemannian metric β is induced by G_x -invariant Riemannian metrics α_x on the linear slices N_x , where $x \in M$, satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.4. Let G_x act on G from the right and diagonally on $G \times N_x$. Then $G \times N_x$ is a smooth (real analytic) G_x -manifold, for every $x \in M$. Since G is a compact Lie group, it has a bi-invariant real analytic Riemannian metric ν . Then $\nu \oplus \alpha_x$ is a Riemannian metric on $G \times N_x$. It is G_x -invariant, and also G -invariant when we consider the left action of G on itself. Therefore, $\nu \oplus \alpha_x$ induces a G -invariant Riemannian metric α_x^G on the twisted product $G \times_{G_x} N_x$. If $z \in N_x \cap N_y$, then $N_z \subset N_x \cap N_y$, and the restrictions $\alpha_x^G|_{G \times_{G_z} N_z}$ and $\alpha_y^G|_{G \times_{G_z} N_z}$ equal α_z^G . It follows that the Riemannian metrics α_x^G , $x \in M$, determine a G -invariant Riemannian metric α on M . The Riemannian metric $\hat{\alpha}$, induced on M/G by α , equals β . $\square$

Theorems 3.1 and 3.6 and Corollary 3.5 imply the following:

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be a compact Lie group and let M be a smooth (real analytic) manifold on which G acts by a smooth (real analytic) almost free action. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence $\alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$ between G -invariant smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metrics on M and smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metrics on M/G . Moreover, α is complete if and only if $\hat{\alpha}$ is complete.*

4. COMPARING RIEMANNIAN METRICS ON X AND X_{red}

Let X be a smooth (real analytic) orbifold. Assume X is not reduced. Replacing every orbifold chart $(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$ by a chart $(\tilde{U}, G/\ker(G), \varphi)$ yields a smooth (real analytic) reduced orbifold X_{red} . The orbifolds X and X_{red} are identical as topological spaces but, as orbifolds, they are not diffeomorphic. The identity map $X \rightarrow X_{\text{red}}$ is an orbifold map while its inverse map is not. Let $(\tilde{U}, G, \varphi)$ be an orbifold chart of X . Then a Riemannian metric on $\tilde{U}$ is invariant under the action of G if and only if it is invariant under the action of $G/\ker(G)$. The following proposition follows immediately from Definition 2.4:

Proposition 4.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between Riemannian metrics on X and Riemannian metrics on X_{red} . A Riemannian metric α on X is smooth (real analytic) if and only if the corresponding Riemannian metric α_{red} on X_{red} is smooth (real analytic).*

Remark 4.2. Assume X is connected. Let d_α and $d_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}$ be the metrics induced on X by α and on X_{red} by α_{red} , respectively. If we just consider X and X_{red} as topological spaces, i.e., if we identify X_{red} with X , then both d_α and $d_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}$ are

metrics on X and $d_\alpha = d_{\alpha_{\text{red}}}$. In particular, this implies that α is complete if and only if α_{red} is complete.

5. REAL ANALYTIC RIEMANNIAN METRIC

In this section we show that every real analytic orbifold has a real analytic Riemannian metric. In order to do that, we first need to construct the *frame bundle* $\text{Fr}(X)$ of a reduced real analytic orbifold X . The construction is similar to that in the smooth case. For details, see [6], pp. 42–43.

Recall that, for an n -dimensional real analytic manifold, the frame bundle $\text{Fr}(M)$ is a real analytic fibre bundle over M , the fibre of $x \in M$ is the manifold of all ordered bases of the tangent space $T_x(M)$. The frame bundle $\text{Fr}(M)$ admits a canonical right action of the general linear group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ which makes it a principal $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -bundle over M .

For a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold X , we first form the frame bundles $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)$ corresponding to orbifold charts $(\tilde{U}_i, G_i, \varphi_i)$. The action of G_i on $\tilde{U}_i$ induces a left action on $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)$:

$$G_i \times \text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i), \quad (g, (x, B_x)) \mapsto (gx, (dg)_x(B_x)).$$

Since G_i acts effectively on $\tilde{U}_i$, it follows that the action of G_i on $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)$ is free. The group $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts on $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)$ from the right and the action commutes with the action of G_i . Thus $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)/G_i$ is a real analytic manifold on which $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts real analytically. In fact, we can consider $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)/G_i$ as a twisted product $\tilde{U}_i \times_{G_i} \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. It now follows from Lemma 0.1 in [4], that $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts properly on $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)/G_i$.

Assume $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $[x, I]A = [x, I]$. Then $(x, A) = (gx, (dg)_x)$, for some $g \in G_i$. Thus $g \in (G_i)_x$ and $A = (dg)_x$. It follows that the isotropy subgroups of the $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -action are finite, i.e., $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts almost freely on $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)/G_i$.

The frame bundle $\text{Fr}(X)$ of X can be constructed by gluing together the quotients $\text{Fr}(\tilde{U}_i)/G_i$. This is done by using the gluing maps induced by the embeddings $\lambda_{ij}: \tilde{U}_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_j$ between orbifold charts. We obtain:

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold. Then the frame bundle $\text{Fr}(X)$ of X is a real analytic manifold on which $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts by a proper, real analytic, almost free action. The orbifolds X and $\text{Fr}(X)/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ are real analytically diffeomorphic.*

We are now ready to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us first assume that X is a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold. By Theorem 5.1, $X \cong \text{Fr}(X)/\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts properly and real analytically on $\text{Fr}(X)$, it follows from Theorem I in [4], that $\text{Fr}(X)$ has a real analytic $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant Riemannian metric α . But then, by Theorem 3.1, α induces a real analytic Riemannian metric on X .

Let then X be any real analytic orbifold, and let X_{red} be the corresponding reduced orbifold. By the first part of the proof, we know that X_{red} has a real analytic Riemannian metric. It now follows from Proposition 4.1, that also X has a real analytic Riemannian metric. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let X be a reduced n -dimensional real analytic orbifold. Since X has a real analytic Riemannian metric, by Theorem 1.1, we can construct the orthonormal frame bundle $\text{OFr}(X)$ of X (denoted by $\text{Fr}(X)$ in [1]), exactly as in the smooth case, see pp. 11 - 12 in [1]. The proof is now similar to the proof of the smooth case ([1], Theorem 1.23). $\square$

Theorem 1.2, Corollary 3.7, Proposition 4.1 and Remark 4.2 imply the following correspondence:

Corollary 5.2. *Let X be an n -dimensional smooth (real analytic) orbifold, and let X_{red} be the reduced orbifold corresponding to X . Then there is a one-to-one correspondence between smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metrics on X and $\text{O}(n)$ -invariant smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metrics on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})$. A Riemannian metric on X is complete if and only if the corresponding $\text{O}(n)$ -invariant Riemannian metric on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})$ is complete.*

6. COMPLETE RIEMANNIAN METRIC

Recall that two smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metrics α_1 and α_2 on a smooth (real analytic) orbifold X are called *conformal*, if there exists a smooth (real analytic) orbifold map $\omega: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega(x) > 0$ for every $x \in X$ and $\alpha_1 = \omega\alpha_2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\text{id}: X \rightarrow X_{\text{red}}$ be the identity map. By Theorem 1.23 in [1] and Theorem 1.2, there is a smooth (real analytic) diffeomorphism $f: X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})/\text{O}(n)$. Let $\pi: \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}}) \rightarrow \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})/\text{O}(n)$ denote the natural projection. Let α be a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on X , and let α_{red} be the corresponding Riemannian metric on X_{red} . The diffeomorphism f induces a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric $f^*\alpha_{\text{red}}$ on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})/\text{O}(n)$. By Theorem 3.6, there is an $\text{O}(n)$ -invariant smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric β on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})$ such that the Riemannian metric $\hat{\beta}$ induced on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})/\text{O}(n)$ by β equals $f^*\alpha_{\text{red}}$. By Theorems 3.1 and 5.2 in [5], there is an $\text{O}(n)$ -invariant smooth (real analytic) map $\omega: \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the Riemannian metric $\omega^2\beta$ on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})$ is complete. Let $\bar{\omega}: \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})/\text{O}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the map induced by ω . Then $(\bar{\omega}^2 \circ f \circ \text{id})\alpha$ is a complete smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on X conformal to α . $\square$

A Riemannian metric α on a connected orbifold X is called *bounded* if X is bounded with respect to the metric induced by α . The following result concerning

bounded Riemannian metrics was originally proved by Nomizu and Ozeki in the manifold setting ([7], Theorem 2).

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a connected smooth (real analytic) orbifold and let α be a smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on X . Then there is a bounded smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on X which is conformal to α .*

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 1.4, we may assume that α is complete. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})$ and let $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0$ denote the connected component of $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})$ containing x_0 . Let $H = \{h \in \text{O}(n) \mid h(\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0) = \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0\}$. (In fact, $H = \text{O}(n)$, or $H = \text{SO}(n)$.) Let β be the $\text{O}(n)$ -invariant smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})$ such that the Riemannian metric $\hat{\beta}$ induced on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})/\text{O}(n)$ by β equals $f^*\alpha_{\text{red}}$, and let β_0 denote the restriction of β to $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0$. Let d_{β_0} denote the H -invariant metric β_0 induces on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0$. Let

$$r_0: \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \max\{d_{\beta_0}(hx_0, x) \mid h \in H\}.$$

Then r_0 is a continuous H -invariant map and $r_0(x) \geq d_{\beta_0}(x_0, x)$, for all $x \in \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0$. By Lemmas 2.3 and 5.1 in [5], there is an H -invariant smooth (real analytic) map $r: \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $r(x) > r_0(x)$, for all $x \in \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0$. The Riemannian metric $e^{-2r}\beta_0$ on $\text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0$ is H -invariant and, by Theorem 2 in [7], it is bounded. Let $\bar{r}: \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})/\text{O}(n) \cong \text{OFr}(X_{\text{red}})_0/H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the map induced by r . Then $e^{-2\bar{r} \circ f_{\text{oid}}}\alpha$ is a bounded smooth (real analytic) Riemannian metric on X and it is conformal to α . $\square$

Assume every Riemannian metric on X is complete. According to Theorem 6.1, X has a bounded complete Riemannian metric. Thus it follows that X must be compact.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem, J. Leida, Y. Ruan, *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **171**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [2] J.E. Borzellino, *Riemannian geometry of orbifolds*, Ph.D. Thesis UCLA, 1992.
- [3] G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, Orlando, Florida, 1972.
- [4] S. Illman, M. Kankaanrinta, *Some basic results for real analytic proper G -manifolds*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000), 169–183.
- [5] M. Kankaanrinta, *Some basic results concerning G -invariant Riemannian metrics*, J. Lie Theory **18** (2008) no. 1, 243–251.
- [6] I. Moerdijk, J. Mrcun, *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **91**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [7] K. Nomizu, H. Ozeki, *The existence of complete Riemannian metrics*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **12** No. 6 (1961), 889–891.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. Box 400137, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHAR-
LOTTESVILLE VA 22904 - 4137, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `mk5aq@virginia.edu`